Adaptation of cyanobacteria and microalgae to extreme environmental changes derived from anthropogenic pollution
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ABSTRACT

Nowadays are living in a global extinction period consequence of human activities that are altering biosphere-level processes. Microalgal populations are being exposed to by-products of human activities for the first time and little is known about their mechanisms of adaptation. Cyanobacteria and microalgae could adapt to novel environments through selection on spontaneous mutations or through individual responses (i.e. resistant organisms arising by mutations occurring randomly prior to selective agent exposure or by direct and specific adaptation in response to selective agent). We are working on mechanisms of adaptation of these organisms to catastrophic environmental changes coming from residual materials of water pollution. Fluctuation analysis is the procedure to study this adaptive process. Cyanobacteria and microalgal species exposed to several pollutants (herbicides, antibiotics, heavy metals, sulphuric waters and others) showed resistant variants arise from rare spontaneous pre-selective mutations occurring prior to pollutant exposition. Spontaneous mutations seem to be enough to assure the adaptation of large microalgal populations. However, resistant mutants show diminished growth and photosynthesis.
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THE PROBLEM

Nowadays, we are living in a geological instant in which global extinction rates are 50-500 times background and are increasing due to those human activities that are altering biosphere-level processes. It is supposed that several million populations and 300-30,000 species go extinct annually from a total of >10 million species (Woodruff 2001). Even, humans have transform-
and protists seem interesting, as dependable nutrient cycles may become less predictable as essential microbes succumb to anthropogenic toxins (Woodruff, 2001). Since microalgae and cyanobacteria are the principal primary producers of aquatic ecosystems (Falkowski & Raven, 1997), the tolerance of these organisms to contaminated environments is relevant from an ecological point of view. However, little is known about the mechanisms allowing algal adaptation to such extreme conditions. More investigation is needed to make sound predictions about the future, and to determine actions to mitigate the biodiversity crisis (Ehrlich, 2001).

The problem of whether microorganisms adapt to novel environments through selection on spontaneous mutations or through individual responses has an interesting history in biology (reviewed by Sniegowsky & Lensky, 1995; Sniegowsky, 2005). The neo-Darwinian view that evolutionary adaptation occurs by selection on pre-existing genetic variation was early accepted for multicellular organisms. In contrast, many biologists felt that adaptation in bacteria - and perhaps in other microorganisms - may be a different, non-Darwinian process (review by Lewis, 1934; Huxley, 1942, Sniegowsky, 2005).

Under a classic neo-Darwinist point of view, genetic variability maintained in natural populations previously to an environmental change is considerate as the pacemaker of adaptation in changing environments (Lewontin 1974). In contrast, recent evolutionary studies in bacterial populations suggest new hypothesis, including adaptive mutations (i.e. a process that, during no lethal selections, produces mutations that relieve the selective pressure whether or not other, no selected mutations, are also produced) resembling Lamarckism (Cairns et al., 1988, Foster, 2000). The key to resolve this debate is to know the pre-adaptive or post-adaptive origin of new mutations, because adaptive evolution ultimately depends on the origin of new mutations (Sniegowsky 2005). Surprisingly, however, there almost no studies that have made a direct experimental connection between the rates of
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origin of favored mutants and the process of adaptation due to practical and theoretical difficulties (Sniegowsky 2005).

In this sense, we are working on mechanisms of adaptation of cyanobacteria and microalgae subsequent to sudden and catastrophic environmental changes coming from novel residual materials of water pollution, and to extreme natural environments. Our work integrates approaches from evolutionary ecology, genetics and phycology to connect mutation and adaptation in these organisms.

THE PROCEDURES

Theory

In a seminal paper, Luria & Delbrück (1943) introduced fluctuation analysis as a combined experimental and statistical methodology for distinguishing whether microorganisms adapt to novel environments through selection on spontaneous mutations or through individual responses (i.e. pre-adaptive or post-adaptive origin of new mutations). To conduct a fluctuation test, multiple independent cultures of the cells of interest are grown in a nonselective medium from a small inoculum to some common final population size. Subsequently the cultures are exposed to the selective agent that kills sensitive cells. Afterwards the resistant cells remaining in each culture are then counted. Two distinct results can be obtained:

i) If resistant cells arise only by spontaneous mutation previously to selection, then there should be a high variance in their number per culture (fluctuation). This is because spontaneous mutation occurs by chance, and consequently, some cultures will have acquired resistant mutants early in their history, whereas other cultures will have acquired resistant mutants late or not at all.

ii) If resistant cells arise only in response to the selective medium, then their distribution per culture should be more uniform (without fluctuation), reflecting a low but constant probability that each cell will respond with resistance.

Statistically, spontaneous mutation thus predicts a high variance to mean ratio (coefficient of variation > 1) in the number of resistant cells among cultures, whereas resistance acquired in response to exposure predicts a coefficient of variation that is approximately 1, as expected from the Poisson distribution.

Practice

In the classical Luria-Delbrück fluctuation test, resistant cells are enumerated by spreading cultures separately on selective agar plates. Cyanobacteria and microalgae however, does not grow well on agar media. So, we developed a fluctuation test (summarized in Fig. 1) entirely in liquid medium, growing algal cultures first in a benign medium and then exposing them to selective agent (Costas et al., 2001; Lopez-Rodas et al., 2001). All cultures initially collapsed after exposure to selective agent, but some re-grew after many days, due to the growth of resistant cells. The number of these resistant cells in each culture is counted under the microscope. As a control for this liquid fluctuation test we exposed multiple aliquots of a single large algal culture to selective agent. These aliquots contained the same number of cells as the fluctuation test cultures (Luria & Delbrück also employed a similar control in their original experiment).

On the hypothesis that adaptation to selective agent occurs by selection on spontaneous mutation, the controls should have had a low coefficient of variation (consistent with the error in sampling resistant cells from one large culture). In contrast, the fluctuation test cultures (Set 1) should have a high coefficient of variation.

In addition, the proportion of cultures showing no mutant in the first set of experiments is used to estimate the mutation rate ($P_0$ estimator) as follow:

$$P_0 = e^{-\mu (N_t - N_0)} \text{ (Luria & Delbrück, 1943)} \quad (1)$$

where, $P_0$ is the proportion of cultures showing no mutant colonies after plating; $\mu$ is the mutation rate; $N_0$ is the initial cell population size; $N_t$ is the final cell population size.
SOME ANSWERS

During the last five years, we have analyzed adaptation of cyanobacteria and microalgae to numerous anthropogenic pollutants (herbicides, antibiotics, heavy metals, and others) as well as to extreme natural environments (sulphureous waters from La Hedionda, Casares, Málaga; Tinto River, Huelva) as previously described (Costas et al., 2001; López-Rodas et al., 2001; Baos et al., 2002; García-Villada et al., 2002, 2004; Altabamano et al., 2004; Flores-Moya et al., 2005).

Cyanobacteria and microalgae (which are the principal primary producers of aquatic ecosystems, Fallowsky & Raven 1997) are being exposed to by-products of human technology for the first time. Consequently, the tolerance of these organisms to stressed environments is an import subject because carrying an ecological cost.

How these photosynthetic microorganisms are able to succeed in polluted and extreme environments? To respond this question we need to know:

i) The mechanisms (classic NeoDarwinian explanations based on selection on spontaneous mutations, or through individual responses resembling Lamarckism) that allows this adaptation.

ii) The rate of adaptation (i.e. mutation rates from pollutant sensitivity to pollutant resistance).

iii) The cost, in terms of fitness, growth rates, photosynthetic efficiency, and others, of this adaptation.

When microalgal cultures are treated with a contaminant, the cultures collapse due to destruction of sensitive cells by the contaminant. However, after further incubation for several

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTROPHOGENIC POLLUTION</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Fluctuation test CV Set1</th>
<th>CV Set 2</th>
<th>Mutation rate</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCMU</td>
<td>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1x10^-6</td>
<td>Costas et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-(3,4 dichlorophenyl)-1,1 dimethylurea</td>
<td>Pseudanabaena planktonica&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.4x10^-6</td>
<td>López Rodas et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dunaliella tertiolecta&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.6x10^-6</td>
<td>López Rodas et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
<td>Microcystis aeruginosa&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.6x10^-7</td>
<td>unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>Pseudanabaena planktonica&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1x10^-6</td>
<td>López Rodas et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT</td>
<td>Scenedesmus sp&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>8.2x10^-6</td>
<td>López Rodas et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinitrotoluene</td>
<td>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.4x10^-5</td>
<td>García Villada et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.5x10^-6</td>
<td>unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper sulphate</td>
<td>Microcystis aeruginosa&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.76x10^-6</td>
<td>García Villada et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aznalcollar mine spill</td>
<td>Scenedesmus intermedius&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.12x10^-5</td>
<td>Baos et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXTREME ENVIRONMENTS</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Fluctuation test CV Set1</th>
<th>CV Set 2</th>
<th>Mutation rate</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hedionda spa (sulphureous waters)</td>
<td>Spirogyra insignis&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7x10^-7</td>
<td>Flores Moya et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinto river (acid waters)</td>
<td>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8x10^-6</td>
<td>unpublished</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.- Chlorophyceae
2.- Cyanoprokaryota
days, some cultures sometimes increase in density again, due to growth of algal variants that are resistant to the action of the contaminant. The key to understanding adaptation of microalgae is to analyze these rare algal variants that occurs after the massive destruction of the sensitive cells by the contaminant. Fluctuation analysis is the procedure to study the nature of adaptive process (i.e. resistant organisms arising by direct and specific adaptation in response to selective agent versus resistant organisms arise by rare spontaneous mutations occurring randomly prior to selective agent exposure).

Table 1 shows the fluctuation test in diverse microalgal species exposed to anthropogenic pollutants and extreme environments. The variation in Set 2 controls (variance/mean ≈ 1) is consistent with Poisson variability in all the cases, indicating that any large fluctuations in Set 1 must be due to processes other than sampling error. In all Set 1 experiments the variance significantly exceeded the mean, indicating that resistant organisms arose by rare spontaneous mutation and not through direct and specific adaptation in response to environmental change. Adaptation of algal populations to modern pollution-derived environmental hazards (or extreme natural environments) seems to be the result of a rare event: the spontaneous mutation from sensitivity to resistance that occurs randomly prior to the cells come in contact with that contaminant.

Usually, spontaneous mutation rates in different organisms from bacteria to men are: i) exceedingly low, ii) vary considerably in different organisms, and iii) vary from gene to gene within the same species (review by Klug and Cummings, 1997). The rate of spontaneous mutation from sensitivity to resistance (μ) in the analysed microalga exposed to anthropogenic and natural stressed environments were also low. These rates can vary inter-species exposed to same selective agent (i.e. 2.4 x 10^-6 mutants per cell division for DCMU-resistance in *P. planktonica* and 3.6 x 10^-6 mutants per cell division for DCMU-resistance in *D. tertiolecta*), but do not change intra-species exposed to different selective agent (i.e. 2.4 x 10^-6 mutants per cell division for DCMU and 2.2 x 10^-6 mutants per cell division for erythromycin in *P. planktonica*). Spontaneous mutation rate in cyanobacteria was two orders of magnitude higher than the mutation rates observed in other prokaryotes (≈10^-8) and similar to those of genes of several plants (i.e. maize) (Klug and Cummings, 1997). However, mutation for resistance seems to involve an important biological cost. All resistant

---

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTHROPOGENIC POLLUTION</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Mutation rate</th>
<th>Coefficient of selection</th>
<th>Resistant-mutant allele frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCMU</td>
<td>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides</td>
<td>2.1x10^-6</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.1x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-(3,4 dichlorophenyl)-1,1 dimethylurea</td>
<td><em>Pseudanabaena planktonica</em></td>
<td>2.4x10^-6</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.6x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>3.6x10^-6</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.1x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
<td><em>Microcystis aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>3.6x10^-7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>6.5x10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td><em>Pseudanabaena planktonica</em></td>
<td>2.1x10^-6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.6x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT</td>
<td><em>Scenedesmus sp</em></td>
<td>8.2x10^-6</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.1x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinitrotoluene</td>
<td><em>Dictyosphaerium chlorelloides</em></td>
<td>1.4x10^-5</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5.9x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper sulphate</td>
<td><em>Microcystis aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>1.7x10^-6</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.5x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aznalcoral mine spill</td>
<td><em>Scenedesmus intermedius</em></td>
<td>2.1x10^-5</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>6.5x10^-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Frecuencia alélica del mutante resistente, determinada por el equilibrio entre la tasa de mutación y el coeficiente de selección.*
mutants showed a diminished growth rates and photosynthetic performance compared to those of sensitive wild type (Table 2). Consequently, most of these mutants disappear sooner or later due to natural selection or chance but new resistant mutants arise in each generation (Spiess, 1989). At any one time there will be a certain number of resistant organisms that are not yet eliminated. The average number of such mutants will be determined by the balance of the mutation rate and the rate of selective elimination as:

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{s}}$$ (2)

where: q is the allele frequency of the mutant; \( \mu \) is the mutation rate; and s is the selection coefficient of the mutant (Ayala & Kiger 1984). Such fraction of resistant mutants are presumably enough to assure the adaptation of microalgal populations to catastrophic water contamination and extreme environments, since the natural populations of microalgae are composed of abundant cell number (probably at least billions) and they are able to grow rapidly.

As conclusion, and employing the ‘Oecam’s razor’, spontaneous pre-selective mutants (as ‘hopeful monsters’) are enough to assure the adaptation of the extra large sized microalgal populations to catastrophic environmental changes. However, resistant mutants show reduced growth and photosynthesis, and although microalgal populations are able to survive to catastrophic events of contamination via spontaneous mutation, important ecological parameters (such as primary production, biomass) could be severely diminished.
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